

### California Regional Water Quality Control Board

San Francisco Bay Region

Gray Davis
Governor

Winston H. Hickox
Secretary for
Environmental
Protection

Internet Address: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, California 94612 Phone (510) 622-2300 • FAX (510) 622-2460

> Date: DEC 0 3 2002 File No. 2139.3003(ES)

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. 70020860000530607947 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Steve Anderson City of Calistoga 1232 Washington Street Calistoga, CA 94515

Subject: Mandatory Minimum Penalty Assessed Under Water Code Sections 13385(h) and (i)

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Enclosed is Complaint No. R2-2002-0015. The Complaint alleges that there were 29 effluent violations of your NPDES permit limits during the period between January 1, 2000 and March 31, 2002. The details of these violations are summarized in Table 1 of the Complaint. Twenty-three of these violations are subject to mandatory penalties under Sections 13385(h) and (i) of the California Water Code for a total penalty of \$69,000.

I plan to bring this matter to the Regional Board at its January 22, 2003 meeting. You have three options:

- 1. You can appear before the Board at the meeting to contest the matter. Written comments are due by January 2, 2003. At the meeting the Board may impose an administrative civil liability in the amount proposed or for a different amount; decline to seek civil liability; or refer the case to the Attorney General to have a Superior Court consider imposition of a penalty.
- 2. You can waive the right to a hearing by signing the last page of the Complaint and checking the first box. There will be no hearing on this matter, provided no significant public comment is received by Board staff prior to close of the comment period. By doing so, you agree to pay the liability within 30 days of the signed waiver becoming effective.
- 3. You can waive the right to a hearing and agree to undertake a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) by signing the Waiver and checking the second box. There will be no hearing on this matter, provided no significant public comment is received by Board staff prior to close of the comment period. By doing so, you agree to complete a SEP in lieu of paying a suspended amount of up to \$42,000 of the penalty and remit the balance of the fine to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account within thirty days of the signed waiver becoming effective. Note that the SEP must be acceptable to the Executive Officer of the Board. If the Executive Officer determines that, either SEP proposal is not acceptable, or the SEP is not adequately completed within

the approved time schedule, you will be required to pay the suspended liability within 30 days of notification by the Regional Board. Please mail and fax a copy of the signed waiver to Eddy So's attention at (510) 622-2418 no later than January 2, 2003.

If you have any questions please call Eddy So at (510) 622-2418.

Sincerely,

Loretta K. Barsamiar

**Executive Officer** 

Encl.: Complaint No. R2-2002-0015

Cc: Greg Walker, RWQCB James Nusrala, RWQCB

## CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

#### **COMPLAINT NO. R2-2002-0015**

# MANDATORY MINIMUM PENALTIES IN THE MATTER OF CITY OF CALISTOGA NAPA COUNTY

Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13385, this Complaint is issued to the City of Calistoga (hereinafter the Discharger) to assess mandatory minimum penalties to, based on a finding of violations of Waste Discharge Requirements Order Nos. 92-062 and 00-131 (NPDES No. CA0037966) known to the Regional Board for the period between January 1, 2000 and March 31, 2002.

The Executive Officer finds the following:

- 1. On June 17, 1992, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region (Regional Board), adopted Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 92-062 to regulate discharges of waste from the Discharger's treatment plant, which provides tertiary level treatment of municipal wastewater from domestic and commercial sources within the City of Calistoga. The Discharger's facility is a publicly owned treatment work (POTW).
- 2. The discharge of treated effluent to the Napa River during the wet weather is governed by the NPDES permit, which prohibits any discharge to the Napa River during the dry weather. During the wet weather, treated effluent is discharged to a non-tidal reach of the Napa River through two submerged outfalls extending from the eastern bank of the river. Outfall E-1 is for the discharge of tertiary-treated effluent, and outfall E-2 is for the discharge of secondary-treated effluent.
- 3. On November 29, 2000, the Regional Board adopted Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 00-131, which superseded Order No. 92-062, to continue regulating the discharges of treated wastewater from the two outfalls. Provision 20 of the Order states Order No. 00-131 becomes effective 10 days after its adoption.
- 4. Water Code Section 13385(h)(1) requires the Regional Board to assess a mandatory minimum penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each serious violation.
- 5. Water Code Section 13385(h)(2) defines a "serious violation" as any waste discharge of a Group I pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements by 40 percent or more, or any waste discharge of a Group II pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation by 20 percent or more.
- 6. Water Code Section 13385(i) requires the Regional Board to assess a mandatory penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each violation, not counting the first three violations, if the discharger does any of the following four or more times in any six consecutive months:
  - a) Violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation.
  - b) Fails to file a report pursuant to Section 13260.
  - c) Files an incomplete report pursuant to Section 13260.

- d) Violates a toxicity discharge limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements where the waste discharge requirements do not contain pollutant-specific effluent limitations for toxic pollutants.
- 7. Water Code Section 13385(k) allows the Regional Board to elect to require a POTW serving a small community, as defined in Water Code Section 79084, to spend an equivalent amount of all or a portion of the mandatory penalties toward the completion of a compliance project (CP) proposed by the POTW, if the state or regional board finds all of the following:
  - a) The CP is designed to correct the violations within five years.
  - b) The CP is accordance with the enforcement policy of the state board.
  - c) The POTW has demonstrated that it has sufficient funding to complete the CP.
- 8. Water Code Section 13385(1) allows the Regional Board, with the concurrence of the discharger, to direct a portion of the penalty amount to be expended on a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in accordance with the Water Quality Enforcement Policy (Enforcement Policy) adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) on February 19, 2002. The maximum penalty amount that may be expended on a SEP may not exceed \$15,000 plus 50 percent of the penalty amount that exceeds \$15,000.

#### 9. Effluent Limitations

a) Order No. 92-062 includes, in part, the following effluent limitations for effluents discharged from outfalls E-1 and E-2:

Parameter	Type of Limit	Outfall E-1 Discharge	Outfall E-2 Discharge
pН		Not less than 6.5 or greater than 8.5	Not less than 6.5 or greater than 8.5
Total coliform	Daily maximum (MPN/100 mL)	240	240
Organisms	Moving median of 5-sample (MPN/100 mL)	Not applicable	23
	Moving median of 7-sample (MPN/100 mL)	2.2	Not applicable
Biochemical	Daily maximum (mg/L)	20	60
oxygen demand	Monthly average (mg/L)	10	30
(BOD)	Percentage removal (%)	Minimum 85	Minimum 85

California Water Code Section 79084 defines a small community as including a municipality with a population of 10,000 persons or less with a financial hardship as determined by the State Board. The Enforcement Policy, adopted on 2/19/2002, defines financial hardship as when the median annual household income for the community is less than 80% of the California median annual household income. The Enforcement Policy further defines that "median annual household income" means the median annual household income of the community based on the most recent census data or a local survey approved by the State Board.

Year-2000 census data show that the City of Calistoga has a population of 5,200 persons and a median annual household income of \$25,000 in year 2000. The median annual household income of the State of California in year 2000 is \$46,900. \$25,000 is less than 80% of \$46,900 (which equals to \$37,520), and thus, the Discharger meets the definition of "small community" that has a financial hardship.

Parameter (cont'd)	Type of Limit	Outfall E-1 Discharge	Outfall E-2 Discharge
Total suspended	Daily maximum (mg/L)	30	60
Solids (TSS)	Monthly average (mg/L)	15	30
	Percentage removal (%)	Minimum 85	Minimum 85
Oil & Grease	Daily maximum (mg/L)	10	20
(O&G)	Monthly average (mg/L)	5	10
Bioassay toxicity	Percentage survival (%)	Minimum 70	Minimum 70

b) Order No. 00-131 includes, in part, the following effluent limitations for effluents discharged from outfalls E-1 and E-2:

Parameter	Type of Limit	Outfall E-1 Discharge	Outfall E-2 Discharge
pН		Not less than 6.5 or greater than 8.5	Not less than 6.5 or greater than 8.5
Total coliform	Daily maximum (MPN/100 mL)	240	240
bacteria	Moving median of 5-sample (MPN/100 mL)	23	23
Biochemical	Daily maximum (mg/L)	20	60
oxygen demand	Monthly average (mg/L)	10	30
(BOD)	Percentage removal (%)	Minimum 85	Minimum 85
Total suspended	Daily maximum (mg/L)	30	60
solids (TSS)	Monthly average (mg/L)	15	30
-	Percentage removal (%)	Minimum 85	Minimum 85
Oil & Grease	Daily maximum (mg/L)	10	20
(O&G)	Monthly average (mg/L)	5	10
Cyanide	Daily maximum (μg/L)	8.2	8.2

#### 10. Summary of Effluent Limit Violations

During the period between January 1, 2000 and March 31, 2002, the Discharger had 29 violations of effluent limitations contained in its NPDES permit. These include 5 violations of oil and grease effluent limits, 6 violations of total suspended solids effluent limits, 5 violations of biochemical oxygen demand effluent limits, 7 violations of total coliform effluent limits, 1 violation of the pH effluent minimum limit, 2 violations of the cyanide effluent limit, and 3 violations of the bioassay toxicity minimum survival effluent limit. The details of these effluent limit violations are summarized in the attached Table 1, which is incorporated herein by reference. Because two different Board Orders regulated the effluent discharged from the wastewater treatment plant during the period covered by this Complaint, effluent limit violations were summarized under the applicable Board Orders and time periods.

#### 11. Oil and grease is Group I pollutant

All five exceedances of oil and grease daily maximum and monthly average limits (items 1, 2, 9, 15, and 17 in the Table 1) are serious violations, as these violations are 40% or greater than the corresponding effluent limitations. Each of these five serious violations is subject to \$3,000 fine, with the total penalty amount for these violations being \$15,000.

#### 12. Total suspended solids is Group I pollutant

- a) Two violations of the total suspended solids effluent limit (items 18 and 29 in the attached table) are serious violations, as the exceedances are 40% or greater than the corresponding limits. Each of these two serious violations is subject to \$3,000 fine, and the total penalty amount for these serious violations is \$6,000.
- b) The four exceedances of the total suspended solids monthly average and percentage removal limits (items 7, 8, 21 and 24 in the attached table) are non-serious violations, as these violations are less than 40% of the corresponding effluent limitations. Only one violation (item 21) is exempted from penalty because it is the third non-serious violation in the corresponding sixmonth period. Therefore, the total penalty amount for these non-serious violations is \$9,000.

#### 13. Biochemical oxygen demand is Group I pollutant

- a) One violation of the biochemical oxygen demand monthly average limit (item 28 in the attached table) is a serious violation, as the exceedance is 40% of the corresponding limit. This serious violation is subject to \$3,000 fine.
- b) The four exceedances of the biochemical oxygen demand monthly average and percentage removal limits (items 16, 19, 20, and 23 in the attached table) are non-serious violations, as these violations are less than 40% of the corresponding effluent limitations. Because three of these violations are within the first three non-serious violations in their corresponding six-month periods and are exempt from mandatory minimum penalty, the total penalty amount for these non-serious violations is \$3,000.

#### 14. Total Coliform is neither a Group I nor Group II pollutant

The seven exceedances of total coliform daily maximum and 5-sample median effluent limits (items 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 26 and 27 in the attached table) are non-serious violations. Because none of these violations are within the first three non-serious violations in their corresponding six-month periods, all are subject to \$3,000 fine for each violation. The total penalty amount for these non-serious violations is \$21,000.

#### 15. pH is neither a Group I nor Group II pollutant

The one exceedance of the pH effluent minimum limit (item 14 in the attached table) is a non-serious violation. Because it is the first non-serious violation in the corresponding six-month period and is therefore exempt from mandatory minimum penalty.

#### 16. Cyanide is a Group II pollutant

The two exceedances of the cyanide daily maximum effluent limit (items 22 and 25 in the attached table) are non-serious violations, as the exceedance in each case is less than 20% of the limit. Because none of these violations are within the first three non-serious violations in their corresponding 180-day periods, both are therefore subject to \$3,000 fine for each violation. The total penalty amount for these non-serious violations is \$6,000.

#### 17. Bioassay Toxicity is neither a Group I nor Group II pollutant

The three exceedances of the bioassay toxicity minimum survival limit (items 3, 4, and 5 in the attached table) are non-serious violations. Only one violation (item 3) is exempt from mandatory minimum penalty because it is the third non-serious violation in the corresponding 180-day period. Therefore, the total penalty amount for these non-serious violations is \$6,000.

#### 18. Water Code Exception

Water Code Section 13385(j) provides some exceptions related to the assessment of mandatory penalties for effluent limit violations. None of the exceptions applies to the violations cited in this Complaint.

#### 19. Mandatory Minimum Penalty Assessment

The total mandatory minimum penalty for the twenty-three of the 29 effluent limit violations described in Findings 10 through 17 are \$69,000.

#### 20. Suspended Mandatory Minimum Penalty Amounts

- a) Instead of paying the full penalty amount, the Discharger may spend an amount of up to \$42,000 on a SEP acceptable to the Executive Officer. Any such amount expended to satisfactorily complete a SEP will be permanently suspended.
- b) In addition to the penalty amount suspended for the SEP as indicated in (a) above, the Discharger may also spend the remaining balance of \$27,000 on a CP, as described in Water Code Section 13385(k) and the Enforcement Policy. Specifically, the Discharger may undertake the CP described in its November 15, 2002 proposal to install a dissolved oxygen (DO) monitoring and control system for its aeration process. The proposed CP, which consists of the procurement and installation of four DO meters and the development of a DO control program, is to improve the performance of the aeration units to enhance the treatment plant's compliance with the BOD limitations. It is a plant upgrade project designed to correct the BOD violations addressed in this Complaint. The Discharger indicated that the CP could be completed within a few weeks, which is well within the five-year time period specified in Water Code Section 13385(k). The Discharger further confirmed that it has sufficient fund to complete the CP, as the treatment plant has between \$30,000 and \$100,000 in capital improvement funds approved by the City Council. The proposed CP complies with the conditions A and C of Section X of the Enforcement Policy.

#### THE CITY OF CALISTOGA IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

- 1. The Executive Officer of the Regional Board proposes that the Discharger be assessed a mandatory minimum penalty in the amount of \$69,000.
- 2. The Regional Board will hold a hearing on this Complaint on January 22, 2003, unless the Discharger waives the right to a hearing by signing the last page of this Complaint and check the appropriate box. By doing so, the Discharger agrees to:
  - (a) Pay the full penalty of \$69,000 within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective, or
  - (b) Satisfactorily complete an approved SEP in the amount equivalent to a maximum of \$42,000. Pay a penalty of the balance within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. The sum of the SEP amount, and the amount of the fine to be paid to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account shall equal the full penalty of \$69,000, or
  - (c) Satisfactorily complete an approved SEP in an amount equivalent to \$42,000 and spend the remainder, \$27,000, on the CP described in its November 15, 2002, proposal.

- 3. If the Discharger chooses to propose a SEP, it must submit the proposal by January 2, 2003 to the Executive Officer for approval. Any proposal for the SEP shall also conform to the requirements of the Enforcement Policy. If the proposal is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, the Discharger has 30 days from receipt of notice of an unacceptable SEP to either submit a new or revised proposal, or make a payment for the suspended penalty for the SEP. All payments, including any money not used for the SEP, must be payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. Regular reports on the SEP implementation shall be provided to the Executive Officer according to a schedule to be determined. The completion report for the SEP shall be submitted to the Executive Officer within 60 days of project completion.
- 4. If the Discharger chooses to proceed with the CP described in its November 15, 2002 proposal, then the Discharger shall expend \$27,000 to complete the CP in a timely manner, no later than one year from the effective date of the signed waiver. The Discharger shall submit a completion report for the CP to the Executive Officer within 60 days of project completion. The Executive Officer reserves the right to extend the deadline for completion of the CP provided the Executive Officer determines that the delay is beyond the reasonable control of the Discharger. If the Discharger satisfactorily completes the CP, then the suspended amount for the CP is permanently suspended; otherwise, the suspended amount becomes due and payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account within 30 days of receipt of notice by the Executive Officer that the CP has not been satisfactorily completed. Nothing stated herein relieves the Discharger of its obligation to take necessary actions to achieve compliance with its permit.
- 5. The signed waiver will become effective on the next day after the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.
- 6. If a hearing is held, the Regional Board may impose an administrative civil liability in the amount proposed or for a different amount; decline to seek civil liability; or refer the matter to the Attorney General to have a Superior Court consider imposition of a penalty.

Loretta K. Barsamian
Executive Officer

December 4, 2002

Date

#### WAIVER

(The signed waiver will become effective upon closure of the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.)

- Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to make payment in full.

  By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Regional Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2002-0015 and to remit the full penalty payment to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, c/o State Water Resources Control Board at 1515 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective as indicated above. I understand that I am giving up my right to be heard, and to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in this Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed.
- Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to make payment and undertake a SEP. By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Regional Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2002-0015, and to complete a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in lieu of the suspended liability up to \$42,000. I also agree to remit payment of \$27,000 to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. I understand that the SEP proposal shall conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002, and be subject to approval by the Executive Officer. If the SEP proposal, or its revised version, is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, I agree to pay the suspended penalty amount for the SEP within 30 days of a letter from the Executive Officer denying the approval of the proposed SEP. I also understand that I am giving up my right to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in the Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed. I further agree to satisfactorily complete the approved SEP within a time schedule set by the Executive Officer.
- Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to undertake a SEP and the CP By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Regional Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2002-0015, and to complete (1) a SEP for an equivalent amount of suspended liability up to \$42,000 and (2) the \$27,000 compliance project (CP), as described in our November 15, 2002 letter and in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Complaint. With respect to SEP, I understand that the proposal for the SEP shall conform to the requirements specified in the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002, and be subject to approval by the Executive Officer. If the SEP proposal is not acceptable to the Executive Officer and upon receipt of the Executive Officer's letter denying the proposed project, I agree to pay the suspended liability of the SEP within 30 days of a letter from the Executive Officer denying the approval of the proposal(s). I understand that failure to adequately complete the approved SEP will require payment of the suspended amount to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account within 30 days of receipt of notice by the Executive

MM	PΕ	22-2	2002	2-00	15
City	of	Cal	isto	ga	

givi	icer that the SEP has not been satisfactorily completed. I also understand that I aming up my right to argue against the allegations made by the Executive Officer in the amplaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the civil liability proposed.
------	--

Name (print)	Signature
Date	Title/Organization

Table 1: Effluent Limit Violations - City of Calistoga (January 1, 2000 Through March 31, 2002)

	Item	Violetion	Detail of Effluent Limitation Violation	Effluent	Reported		Comment		Start of
	No.	Date		Limit	Value	Serions	Non-Serious	Total	180 days
790·	1	1/31/00	E-1 Effluent Oil & Grease Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 5	7	\$3,000	NS1		1-Jan-00
<b>76</b> .	2	2/16/00	E-2 Effluent Oil & Grease Daily Maximum, mg/L	Max 20	20	\$3,000	NS2		1-Jan-00
on.	М	2/19/00	E-1 Effluent Bioassay Toxicity Test 2 - Survival Percentage, %	Min 70	0		NS3		1-Jan-00
ıəpı	4	2/19/00	E-2 Effluent Bioassay Toxicity Test 1 - Survival Percentage, %	Min 70	0		\$3,000		1-Jan-00
O II	S	2/19/00	E-2 Effluent Bioassay Toxicity Test 2 - Survival Percentage, %	Min 70	0		\$3,000		1-Jan-00
its i	9	2/22/00	E-2 Effluent Total Coliform Daily Maximum, MPN/100 mL	Max 240	920		\$3,000		1-Jan-00
ալշ	7	2/29/00	Combined Effluent Total Suspended Solids Percentage Removal, %	Min 85	84		\$3,000		1-Jan-00
juə	∞	2/29/00	E-1 Effluent Total Suspended Solids Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 15	18		\$3,000		1-Jan-00
ពប្រ	6	2/29/00	E-2 Effluent Oil & Grease Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 10	27.3	\$3,000			1-Jan-00
3 Jo	10	3/6/00	E-2 Effluent Total Coliform Daily Maximum, MPN/100 mL	Max 240	280		\$3,000		1-Jan-00
suc	=	3/8/00	E-2 Effluent Total Coliform 5-sample Median, MPN/100 mL	Max 23	110		\$3,000		1-Jan-00
itel	12	3/10/00	E-2 Effluent Total Coliform 5-sample Median, MPN/100 mL	Max 23	110		\$3,000		1-Jan-00
οįΛ	13	3/13/00	E-2 Effluent Total Coliform 5-sample Median, MPN/100 mL	Max 23	110		\$3,000		1-Jan-00
	14	3/5/01	E-1 Effluent pH Minimum	Min 6.5	5.5		NS1		6-Sep-00
Ţ	15	3/5/01	E-2 Effluent Oil & Grease Daily Maximum, mg/L	Max 20	78	\$3,000	NS2		6-Sep-00
£1-0	16	3/31/01	E-2 Effluent Biochemical Oxygen Demand Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 30	37		NS3		2-Oct-00
0.0	17	3/31/01	E-2 Effluent Oil & Grease Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 10	27.6	\$3,000			2-Oct-00
N 18	18	3/31/01	E-2 Effluent Total Suspended Solids Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 30	42	\$3,000			2-Oct-00
Drd	19	12/31/01	Combined Effluent Biochemical Oxygen Dennand Percentage Removal, %	Min 85	83		NS1		4-Jul-01
u i	20	1/31/02	Combined Effluent Biochemical Oxygen Demand Percentage Removal, %	Min 85	81		NS2		4-Aug-01
etim	21	1/31/02	Combined Effluent Total Suspended Solids Percentage Removal, %	Min 85	80		NS3		4-Aug-01
ΙŢ	22	1/31/02	E-1 & E-2 Effluent Cyanide Daily Maximum, ug/L	Max 8.2	6		\$3,000		4-Aug-01
นอท	23	1/31/02	E-2 Effluent Biochemical Oxygen Demand Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 30	32		\$3,000		4-Aug-01
EЩ	24	1/31/02	E-2 Effluent Total Suspended Solids Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 30	36		\$3,000		4-Aug-01
30 s	25	2/28/02	E-1 Effluent Cyanide Daily Maximum, ug/L	Max 8.2	6		\$3,000		1-Sep-01
noi	26	3/1/02	E-2 Effluent Total Coliform 5-sample Median, MPN/100 mL	Max 23	49		\$3,000		8-Sep-01
sloi	27	3/7/02	E-2 Effluent Total Coliform Daily Maximum, MPN/100 mL	Max 240	1,600		\$3,000		8-Sep-01
Λ	28	3/31/02	E-2 Effluent Biochemical Oxygen Demand Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 30	45	\$3,000			2-Oct-01
	29	3/31/02	E-2 Effluent Total Suspended Solids Monthly Average, mg/L	Max 30	54	\$3,000			2-Oct-01
	Number	of finable No	Number of finable Non-Serious Violations	0 •		\$24,000	\$45,000		
	Number	Inumber of Serious Violations			,	4		000	
			Grand	Grand lotal MiMF Amount =	P Amount	1	600	303,000	•
		-	Grand Total Amount Eligible for Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) =	mental Fro	ject (SEP)	11	342,000		
			Grand Total Amount Eligible for Compliance Project (CP) =	mpliance Pr	oject (CP)		\$27,000		—т
	Notations	ns:	riolation penalized at \$3,000 per		of the property of the propert	Constant Constant	ļ		
			NS(x) - Running non-serious violation. First three are not penalized, fourth and subsequent violations are penalized at 33,000 per violation,	violations are p	mailzed at au,	NO per violan	'n,		7

fte

California Regional Water Quality Control Board

San Francisco Bay Region

Winston H. Hickox Internet Address: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov

Secretary for 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, California 94612

Environmental Phone (510) 622-2300 • FAX (510) 622-2460

Protection

Date: ') ~% ~~ o ?00'\_I

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. 70020860000530607947 File No. 2139.3003(ES)

RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Steve Anderson

City of Calistoga

1232 Washington Street

Calistoga, CA 94515

Subject: Mandatory Minimum Penalty Assessed Under Water Code Sections 13385(h)

and (i)

Dear Mr. Anderson:

**Gray Davis** 

Governor

Enclosed is Complaint No. R2-2002-0015. The Complaint alleges that there were

29 effluent

violations of your NPDES permit limits during the period between January 1.

2000 and March

31, 2002. The details of these violations are summarized in Table 1 of the

Complaint. Twenty-

three of these violations are subject to mandatory penalties under Sections

13385(h) and (i) of the

California Water Code for a total penalty of \$69,000.

I plan to bring this matter to the Regional Board at its January 22, 2003

meeting. You have three

options:

1. You can appear before the Board at the meeting to contest the matter.

Written comments

are due by January 2, 2003. At the meeting the Board may impose an

administrative civil

liability in the amount proposed or for a different amount; decline to seek civil liability;

or refer the case to the Attorney General to have a Superior Court consider imposition of

a penalty.

2. You can waive the right to a hearing by signing the last page of the

Complaint and

checking the first box. There will be no hearing on this matter, provided no

public comment is received by Board staff prior to close of the comment period.

doing so, you agree to pay the liability within 30 days of the signed waiver becoming

effective.

You can waive the right to a hearing and agree to undertake a Supplemental

Environmental Project (SEP) by signing the Waiver and checking the second box.

There

will be no hearing on this matter, provided no significant public comment is received by

Board staff prior to close of the comment period. By doing so, you agree to complete a

SEP in lieu of paying a suspended amount of up to \$42,000 of the penalty and

balance of the fine to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account

thirty days of the signed waiver becoming effective. Note that the SEP must be acceptable to the Executive Officer of the Board. If the Executive Officer determines

that, either SEP proposal is not acceptable, or the SEP is not adequately completed within

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption. For a list of

simple ways you can reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see our Web-site

at http://www.swrcb.ca.gov.

City of Calistoga -2- MMP R2-2002-0015

the approved time schedule, you will be required to pay the

suspended liability within 30

days of notification by the Regional Board. Please mail and fax a copy of the signed

waiver to Eddy So's attention at (510) 622-2418 no later than January 2, 2003.

If you have any questions please call Eddy So at (510) 622-2418, Sincerely,

Loretta K. Barsamian

**Executive Officer** 

Encl.: Complaint No. R2-2002-0015 Cc: Greg Walker, RWQCB

James Nusrala, RWQCB

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption. For a list of

simple ways you can reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see our Web-site at http://www.swrcb.ca.gov.

MMP R2-2002-0015

City of Calistoga

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

COMPLAINT NO. R2-2002-0015

MANDATORY MINIMUM PENALTIES

IN THE MATTER OF

CITY OF CALISTOGA

NAPA COUNTY

Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13385, this Complaint is

issued to the City of Calistoga

(hereinafter the Discharger) to assess mandatory minimum penalties

to, based on a finding of violations of

Waste Discharge Requirements Order Nos. 92-062 and 00-131 (NPDES

No. CA0037966) known to the

Regional Board for the period between January 1, 2000 and March 31, 2002.

The Executive Officer finds the following:

1. On June 17, 1992, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San

Francisco Bay Region (Regional

Board), adopted Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 92-062 to

regulate discharges of waste

from the Discharger's treatment plant, which provides tertiary

level treatment of municipal

wastewater from domestic and commercial sources within the City of

Calistoga. The Discharger's

facility is a publicly owned treatment work (POTW).

2. The discharge of treated effluent to the Napa River during the

wet weather is governed by the NPDES

permit, which prohibits any discharge to the Napa River during the

dry weather. During the wet

weather, treated effluent is discharged to a non-tidal reach of

the Napa River through two submerged

outfalls extending from the eastern bank of the river. Outfall E-1

is for the discharge of tertiary-

treated effluent, and outfall E-2 is for the discharge of

secondary-treated effluent.

3. On November 29, 2000, the Regional Board adopted Waste

Discharge Requirements Order No. 00-

131, which superseded Order No. 92-062, to continue regulating the

discharges of treated wastewater

from the two outfalls. Provision 20 of the Order states Order No.

00-131 becomes effective 10 days

after its adoption.

4. Water Code Section 13385(h)(1) requires the Regional Board to assess a mandatory minimum penalty

of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each serious violation.

5. Water Code Section 13385(h)(2) defines a "serious violation" as any waste discharge of a Group I

pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements

by 40 percent or more, or any waste discharge of a Group II pollutant that exceeds the effluent

limitation by 20 percent or more.

6. Water Code Section 13385(i) requires the Regional Board to assess a mandatory penalty of three

thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each violation, not counting the

first three violations, if the discharger

does any of the following four or more times in any six consecutive months:

- a) Violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation.
- b) Fails to file a report pursuant to Section 13260.
- c) Files an incomplete report pursuant to Section 13260.

MMP R2-2002-0015

City of Calistoga

d) Violates a toxicity discharge limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements

where the waste discharge requirements do not contain

pollutant-specific effluent limitations for

toxic pollutants.

7. Water Code Section 13385(k) allows the Regional Board to elect to require a POTW serving a small

community', as defined in Water Code Section 79084, to spend an

equivalent amount of all or a

portion of the mandatory penalties toward the completion of a compliance project (CP) proposed by

the POTW, if the state or regional board finds all of the following:

- a) The CP is designed to correct the violations within five years.
- b) The CP is accordance with the enforcement policy of the state board.
- c) The POTW has demonstrated that it has sufficient funding to complete the CP.
- 8. Water Code Section 13385(1) allows the Regional Board, with the concurrence of the discharger, to

direct a portion of the penalty amount to be expended on a

supplemental environmental project (SEP)

in accordance with the Water Quality Enforcement Policy (Enforcement Policy) adopted by the State

Water Resources Control Board (State Board) on February 19, 2002.

The maximum penalty amount

that may be expended on a SEP may not exceed \$15,000 plus 50 percent of the penalty amount that

exceeds \$15,000.

рΗ

9. Effluent Limitations

a) Order No. 92-062 includes, in part, the following effluent

limitations for effluents discharged

from outfalls E-1 and E-2:

Type of Limit Parameter Outfall E-1 Outfall E-2

Discharge Discharge Not less than 6.5 or Not less than 6.5

eater than 8.5 or eater than 8.5

Daily maximum MPN/100 mL 240 Total coliform 240

Organisms Moving median of 5-sample Not applicable 23

MPN/100 mL

Moving median of 7-sample 2.2 Not applicable

MPN/100 mL

**Biochemical** Daily maximum m L 20 60 oxygen demand Monthly average m /L 10

BOD Percentage removal % Minimum 85 Minimum 85

California Water Code Section 79084 defines a small community as

including a municipality with a population

of 10,000 persons or less with a financial hardship as determined by the State Board. The Enforcement Policy,

adopted on 2/19/2002, defines financial hardship as when the median annual household income for the

community is less than 80% of the California median annual household income. The Enforcement Policy

further defines that "median annual household income" means the

median annual household income of the community based on the most recent census data or a local survey approved by the State Board. Year-2000 census data show that the City of Calistoga has a population of 5,200 persons and a median annual household income of \$25,000 in year 2000. The median annual household income of the State of California in year 2000 is \$46,900. \$25,000 is less than 80% of \$46,900 (which equals to \$37,520), and thus, the Discharger meets the definition of "small community" that has a financial hardship. MMP R2-2002-0015 City of Calistoga Parameter Type of Limit Outfall E-1 Outfall E-2 Discharge cont'd Discharge Total suspended Daily maximum m 30 Solids (TSS) Monthly average m L 30 15 Percentage removal % Minimum 85 Minimum 85 Oil & Grease Daily maximum m 10 O&G Monthly average m 5 10 Bioassay toxicity Percentage survival % Minimum 70 Minimum 70 b) Order No. 00-131 includes, in part, the following effluent limitations for effluents discharged from outfalls E-1 and E-2: Parameter Type of Limit Outfall E-1 Outfall E-2 Discharge Discharge рΗ Not less than 6.5 or Not less than 6.5 or eater than 8.5 eater than 8.5 Daily maximum (MPN/100 240 Total coliform 240 Moving median of 5-sample bacteria 23 23 MPN/100 mL Daily maximum m Biochemical 20 60 Monthly average (mg/L) 10 30 oxygen demand BOD Percentage removal % Minimum 85 Minimum 85 Total Daily maximum (mg/L) 30 60 suspended solids (TSS) Monthly average m L 15 30 Percentage removal % Minimum 85 Minimum 85 Daily maximum m L 10 Oil & Grease (O&G Monthl average m 5 10 Cyanide Daily maximum L 8.2 10. Summary of Effluent Limit Violations During the period between January 1, 2000 and March 31, 2002, the Discharger had 29 violations of effluent limitations contained in its NPDES permit. These include 5 violations of oil and grease effluent limits, 6 violations of total suspended solids effluent limits, 5 violations of biochemical oxygen demand effluent limits, 7 violations of total coliform effluent limits, 1 violation of the pH effluent minimum limit, 2 violations of the cyanide effluent limit, and 3 violations of the bioassay toxicity minimum survival effluent limit. The details of these effluent limit violations are summarized in the attached Table 1, which is incorporated herein by reference. Because two different Board Orders regulated the effluent discharged from the wastewater treatment plant during the period covered by this Complaint, effluent limit violations were summarized under the applicable Board Orders and time periods. MMP R2-2002-0015 City of Calistoga 11. Oil and grease is Group I pollutant All five exceedances of oil and grease daily maximum and monthly average limits (items 1, 2, 9, 15,

and 17 in the Table 1) are serious violations, as these violations

are 40% or greater than the

corresponding effluent limitations. Each of these five serious

violations is subject to \$3,000 fine,

with the total penalty amount for these violations being \$15,000.

12. Total suspended solids is Group -I pollutant

a) Two violations of the total suspended solids effluent limit

(items 18 and 29 in the attached table)

are serious violations, as the exceedances are 40% or greater than

the corresponding limits. Each

of these two serious violations is subject to \$3,000 fine, and the

total penalty amount for these

serious violations is \$6,000.

b) The four exceedances of the total suspended solids monthly

average and percentage removal

violations, as these violations

are less than 40% of the corresponding effluent limitations. Only

one violation (item 21) is

exempted from penalty because it is the third non-serious violation

in the corresponding six-

month period. Therefore, the total penalty amount for these

non-serious violations is \$9,000.

13. Biochemical oxygen demand is Group I pollutant

a) One violation of the biochemical oxygen demand monthly average

limit (item 28 in the attached

table) is a serious violation, as the exceedance is 40% of the

corresponding limit. This serious

violation is subject to \$3,000 fine.

b) The four exceedances of the biochemical oxygen demand monthly

average and percentage

removal limits (items 16, 19, 20, and 23 in the attached table) are

non-serious violations, as these

violations are less than 40% of the corresponding effluent

limitations. Because three of these

violations are within the first three non-serious violations in

their corresponding six-month

periods and are exempt from mandatory minimum penalty, the total

penalty amount for these

non-serious violations is \$3,000.

14. Total Coliform is neither a Group I nor Group II pollutant

The seven exceedances of total coliform daily maximum and 5-sample median effluent limits (items

6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 26 and 27 in the attached table) are non-serious

violations. Because none of these

violations are within the first three non-serious violations in

their corresponding six-month periods,

all are subject to \$3,000 fine for each violation. The total penalty  $% \left( 1,0\right) =0$ 

amount for these non-serious

violations is \$21,000.

15. pH is neither a Group I nor Group pollutant

The one exceedance of the pH effluent minimum limit (item 14 in the

attached table) is a non-serious

violation. Because it is the first non-serious violation in the

corresponding six-month period and is

therefore exempt from mandatory minimum penalty.

16. Cyanide is a Group II pollutant

The two exceedances of the cyanide daily maximum effluent limit

(items 22 and 25 in the attached

table) are non-serious violations, as the exceedance in each case is

less than 20% of the limit.

Because none of these violations are within the first three

non-serious violations in their

corresponding 180-day periods, both are therefore subject to \$3,000

fine for each violation. The total

penalty amount for these non-serious violations is \$6,000.

MMP R2-2002-0015

City of Calistoga

17. Bioassay Toxicity is neither a Group I nor Group 11 pollutant

The three exceedances of the bioassay toxicity minimum survival

limit (items 3, 4, and 5 in the

attached table) are non-serious violations. Only one violation (item

3) is exempt from mandatory

minimum penalty because it is the third non-serious violation in the corresponding 180-day period.

Therefore, the total penalty amount for these non-serious violations is \$6,000.

18. Water Code Exception

Water Code Section 133850) provides some exceptions related to the assessment of mandatory

penalties for effluent limit violations. None of the exceptions applies to the violations cited in this

Complaint.

19. Mandatory Minimum Penalty Assessment

The total mandatory minimum penalty for the twenty-three of the 29 effluent limit violations

described in Findings 10 through 17 are \$69,000.

20. Suspended Mandatory Minimum Penalty Amounts

a) Instead of paying the full penalty amount, the Discharger may spend an amount of up to \$42,000

on a SEP acceptable to the Executive Officer. Any such amount expended to satisfactorily

complete a SEP will be permanently suspended.

b) In addition to the penalty amount suspended for the SEP as indicated in (a) above, the Discharger

may also spend the remaining balance of \$27,000 on a CP, as

described in Water Code Section

13385(k) and the Enforcement Policy. Specifically, the Discharger may undertake the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CP}}$ 

described in its November 15, 2002 proposal to install a dissolved oxygen (DO) monitoring and

control system for its aeration process. The proposed CP, which consists of the procurement and

installation of four DO meters and the development of a DO control program, is to improve the

performance of the aeration units to enhance the treatment plant's compliance with the BOD

limitations. It is a plant upgrade project designed to correct the BOD violations addressed in this

Complaint. The Discharger indicated that the CP could be completed within a few weeks, which

is well within the five-year time period specified in Water Code Section 13385(k). The

Discharger further confirmed that it has sufficient fund to complete the CP, as the treatment plant

has between \$30,000 and \$100,000 in capital improvement funds approved by the City Council.

The proposed CP complies with the conditions A and C of Section X of the Enforcement Policy.

THE CITY OF CALISTOGA IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

- 1. The Executive Officer of the Regional Board proposes that the Discharger be assessed a mandatory
- minimum penalty in the amount of \$69,000.

2. The Regional Board will hold a hearing on this Complaint on January 22, 2003, unless the Discharger

waives the right to a hearing by signing the last page of this

Complaint and check the appropriate box.

By doing so, the Discharger agrees to:

- (a) Pay the full penalty of \$69,000 within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective, or
- (b) Satisfactorily complete an approved SEP in the amount equivalent to a maximum of \$42,000.

Pay a penalty of the balance within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. The

sum of the SEP amount, and the amount of the fine to be paid to the State Water Pollution

Cleanup and Abatement Account shall equal the full penalty of \$69,000, or

(c) Satisfactorily complete an approved SEP in an amount equivalent

to \$42,000 and spend the remainder, \$27,000, on the CP described in its November 15, 2002, proposal. MMP R2-2002-0015 City of Calistoga 3. If the Discharger chooses to propose a SEP, it must submit the proposal by January 2, 2003 to the Executive Officer for approval. Any proposal for the SEP shall also conform to the requirements of the Enforcement Policy. If the proposal is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, the Discharger has 30 days from receipt of notice of an unacceptable SEP to either submit a new or revised proposal, or make a payment for the suspended penalty for the SEP. All payments, including any money not used

for the SEP, must be payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup

and Abatement Account. Regular reports on the SEP implementation shall be provided to the

Executive Officer according to a schedule

to be determined. The completion report for the SEP shall be

submitted to the Executive Officer

within 60 days of project completion.

4. If the Discharger chooses to proceed with the CP described in its November 15, 2002 proposal, then

the Discharger shall expend \$27,000 to complete the CP in a timely manner, no later than one year

from the effective date of the signed waiver. The Discharger shall submit a completion report for the

CP to the Executive Officer within 60 days of project completion.

The Executive Officer reserves the

right to extend the deadline for completion of the CP provided the

Executive Officer determines that

the delay is beyond the reasonable control of the Discharger. If

the Discharger satisfactorily

completes the CP, then the suspended amount for the CP is

permanently suspended; otherwise, the

suspended amount becomes due and payable to the State Water

Pollution Cleanup and Abatement

Account within 30 days of receipt of notice by the Executive

Officer that the CP has not been

satisfactorily completed. Nothing stated herein relieves the

Discharger of its obligation to take

necessary actions to achieve compliance with its permit.

5. The signed waiver will become effective on the next day after the public comment period for this

Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public

comments on this Complaint during

the public comment period. If there are significant public

comments, the Executive Officer may

withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.

6. If a hearing is held, the Regional Board may impose an administrative civil liability in the amount

proposed or for a different amount; decline to seek civil

liability; or refer the matter to the Attorney

General to have a Superior Court consider imposition of a penalty. 6f.Gd~..

w4az' e.

Loretta K. Barsamia `~

**Executive Officer** 

y~~,,~, ~ 2w z

Date

MMP R2-2002-0015 City of Calistoga

WAIVER

(The signed waiver will become effective upon closure of the public comment period for this

Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during

the public comment period. If there are significant public

comments, the Executive Officer may

withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.)

G Waiver of the right to a bearing and agree to make navment in full.

By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Regional Board

with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R2-2002-0015 and to remit the full

penalty payment to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account, c/o State

Water Resources Control Board at 1515 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, within 30 days

after the signed waiver becomes effective as indicated above. I understand that I am

giving up my right to be heard, and to argue against the allegations made by the

Executive Officer in this Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the

civil liability proposed.

O Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to make payment and undertake a SEP.

By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Regional Board

with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No.

R2-2002-0015, and to complete a

supplemental environmental project (SEP) in lieu of the suspended liability up to

\$42,000. I also agree to remit payment of \$27,000 to the State Water Pollution Cleanup

and Abatement Account within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. I

understand that the SEP proposal shall conform to the requirements specified in Section

IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water

Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002, and be subject to approval by the

Executive Officer. If the SEP proposal, or its revised version, is not acceptable to the

Executive Officer, I agree to pay the suspended penalty amount for the SEP within 30

days of a letter from the Executive Officer denying the approval of the proposed SEP. I

also understand that I am giving up my right to argue against the allegations made by the

Executive Officer in the Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the

civil liability proposed. I further agree to satisfactorily

complete the approved SEP

within a time schedule set by the Executive Officer.

O Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to undertake a SEP and the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CP}}$ 

By checking the box, I agree to waive my right to a hearing before the Regional Board

with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No.

R2-2002-0015, and to complete

(1) a SEP for an equivalent amount of suspended liability up to \$42,000 and (2) the

\$27,000 compliance project (CP), as described in our November 15, 2002 letter and in

accordance with the requirements set forth in the Complaint. With respect to SEP, I

understand that the proposal for the SEP shall conform to the requirements specified in

the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources

Control Board on February 19, 2002, and be subject to approval by the Executive Officer.

If the SEP proposal is not acceptable to the Executive Officer and

```
upon receipt of the
Executive Officer's letter denying the proposed project, I agree to
pay the suspended
liability of the SEP within 30 days of a letter from the Executive
Officer denying the
approval of the proposal(s). I understand that failure to
adequately complete the
approved SEP will require payment of the suspended amount to the
State Water Pollution
Cleanup and Abatement Account within 30 days of receipt of notice
by the Executive
MMP R2-2002-0015
City of Calistoga
Officer that the SEP has not been satisfactorily completed. I
also understand that I am
giving up my right to argue against the allegations made by the
Executive Officer in the
Complaint, and against the imposition of, or the amount of, the
civil liability proposed. I
further agree to complete the approved SEP within a time schedule
set by the Executive
Officer.
Name (print)
Date
Signature
Title/Organization
          o °o g °o °o g g °o o $ o °0 0 o p0 °o $ o °o " 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
~~"a ~~"a h h o q o -; a a a a a h h h O o
h ..Ow -• - ... -. ... ~O ~D N N N V' V <t V C ^ 00 00 N N
Ω
0
0
A 0~
0
          000
00
0
          h 111 h h ~ O ~ ~ O ~ ~ ~ O h h h h h h O o O O O O s~9 ~ bN9
z\;z\;z\;M\;M\;M\;M\;M\;M\;M\;M\;z\text{--}\;z\;z\;z\;z"'\;z\;M\;M\;M\;M\;M\;M
O 69 b9 b9 69 b9 V) 69 69 b9 Vi 69 69 b9 69 69
          F
0
0
          000pp0000'S
00000
          0
d M M M M M M bM9 fiM9 6N9
h Vf b9 69 b9 b9 fH
O M O O O O ~ .-. O
          a op v1 \infty I~ N M O p N ~D ~ O, ~ N V
OOO \sim pip NN, \sim .\sim varMNC oo 00 00 MMV at \sim l
O O O O V1 -h,, O M M M ~n O O O O ~n v1 V7 N O O N M O O V W
d . % N h (~ I~ N o0 N k k N ~p N k M G o0 ek0 ak0 N O u m
E'a o d
          w t-~ ~ a a
v w
          C7 ~ ~`o~ 3
o w m
0.0 > R \sim, d
00oaUNOdUd
CIO by op ~', OA bD
EINN
e0 en ' p 0 O a~
00 E Ac c a~~, E O d 8 a a .~
ara d o of o 0 o d goi w w e°ao 4 eo °_ d ao vi
O ~" C~ .>. .->. _T 4s G E T p,
```

```
:°. 0°'0 b xa b aob b ,~ c A A a b 7o W C . v
OOUa)NyOyNOff(OO.~OwLOF
C T ~n in in ,'~ T Q' ~ O A . ~, iTC ~~f A A O
c O O o -,T, c
h H (- vi,a h h .?` eo c 0 ro ea ^{\circ} 'ro o^{\circ}'n ci, m h n e\simq vi
w \sim A . u` \sim A ,p A va v, v, p %0., 'o 'h~" A k h A K d 1~w o 0
p y y k kk h O N y N r .L' .C '~ yN O y [.~ 7
O O PC is O
d (7 C7 A a" U an O U U U U\sim O\sim C7 h 0.1 W E- U . h :o U ri i
A~S 33`~ a C°~ 5 19 5 9 o d d 5 5 5
        000 o T o 00. ea
000
o a o -' 0 0 0 o x
O O W CYO P.1 (-• E- O F• E• E• F- a O 0.1 O F- W E- U I- E~ 0.1 f- ,~y c .5
GCCCGCwGCCCCCC~C.CGGWWWWpCCCCFGO
U U U U U a) b U U U U U U U U U U U b 'O b N -U N U U U U U
PP7000a)00770~7~770Na)NO7d?7a7~oC
.-. N ^ N N N p ^ N N N N N .~ N N ~ V N Q ~ ' Q ^ N N -• N N N N O~ h
79
        w U w ua w w w w ua w w w w U U w w w w w w w h h z
w w w w w
        00
appNNNNNNNNNz
        GI 00_0_000 Ogp_O~~OOO~OOOOOpp00
,O A M ~ ~ ~ ^` N N N N M ~ ~ ^ M ~ M M M ~ ~ ~ M ~ M N v v M M A
^NNNNNNNN M trl M M M ^ N M M M M aq
p~~MVh~O~oo~O^NMV h~O1~o001
^ N M C h ~O t~ 00 O~ .~ .-n N N N N N N N N N N N ,,
Z90-Z6 'ON JaPAO u1 s71WI'l WanpygJo su011e101A l£1-00'OAI aaPJ0 u1 sllunl
auanUJ3J0 su01179101A
```